

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

REC'D 01 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003P00797 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/052752	International filing date (day/month/year) 02.11.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06F17/60		
Applicant SAP AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT et al.		
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 2 sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</p>		
Date of submission of the demand 23.05.2005	Date of completion of this report 31.08.2005	
Name and mailing address of the International Preliminary Examining Authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Hopper, E Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7565	



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
 - international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 - international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements*** of the international application, this report is based on (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report*):

Description, Pages

1, 2, 4-32	as originally filed
3	received on 01.03.2005 with letter of 23.02.2005

Claims, Numbers

1	received on 13.07.2005 with letter of 05.07.2005
---	--

Drawings, Sheets

1/7-7/7	as originally filed
---------	---------------------

- a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
- 3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
 - the description, pages
 - the claims, Nos.
 - the drawings, sheets/figs
 - the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):
- 4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
 - the description, pages
 - the claims, Nos.
 - the drawings, sheets/figs
 - the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):

* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-29
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-29
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-29
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-1164519

Article 33(3) PCT

1. Claim 1 is directed at a method of administrative nature, i.e. a method for processing of invoices.

Claim 1 defines the administrative steps underlying the processing of invoices, i.e. to select an invoice according to some selection criteria in order to prioritise the cash collection of outstanding invoices (see also p. 1, line 29 - p. 2, line 3; p. 3, lines 6 - 25; p. 9, line 30 - p. 10, line 2).

The examiner acknowledges that the subject-matter of claim 1 has technical character in that a method defines a "computerized processing of invoices" and is implemented in a computer system. However, the administrative method itself remains a method for which the International Searching Authority is not required to search an international application since it is directed to a mental act (Rule 39 (iii) PCT; Article 17(2)(a)(i) PCT).

It is noted that throughout the entire application as filed there is no hint of any solution to any technical problem and the only aspect of the application that confers a technical character to the subject-matter of the application is the need for technical consideration with regards of the implementation of the administrative procedure in a computer system.

2. A claim comprising a mix of both technical and non-technical features requires an examination of the technical character of the claim in order to determine those features that are relevant for assessment of inventive step.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

3. Non-technical features may be considered as features that do not contribute to the technical character of a claim. Such non-technical features must satisfy the following two requirements:
 - (i) A feature or group of features that, considered independently from the group of remaining features of the claim, define subject-matter which falls under Rule 39 PCT.
 - (ii) A feature or group of features that does not combine with the group of remaining features of the claim in a way such that the new combination of features has a different technical character to that of the group of remaining features of the claim when considered on its own.
4. It is clear that claim 1 is of technical character in so far the subject-matter of the claim is directed to the computer implementation of an administrative method/ mental act (see item 1).
 - a. The administrative method/ mental act (i.e. the non-technical features) of claim 1 is defined as a method for processing of invoices, which a company has presented to two or more customers comprising:
 - selecting from a plurality of data records comprising invoice data of said invoices such data records, the entry of a due date field of which is within a preselectable time or on a preselectable date and which data record has a first state is assigned in the state field,
 - assigning a second state to said selected data records according to predefineable conditions,
 - presenting the data records having a certain first and/ or second state.
 - b. The method defines an administrative method or a purely mental act. Mental acts fall under the list of exclusions provided in Rule 39 PCT. The features of the method do not combine in any way with the group of remaining features of the claim (general purpose computer system) to alter the technical character of the claim from that of a

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

general purpose computer system. Therefore the group of features set out in 4a above are considered to be non-technical.

- c. The technical character of the claim resides in the implementation of the mental act in a general purpose computer system (cf. p. 6, lines 8 - 12).
- d. The closest prior art is therefore considered to be a general purpose computer system comprising a plurality of general purpose computers and a computer network. Such a general purpose computer system was well known before the priority date of the application (31.10.2003) as not to require written evidence.
- e. Features which cannot be seen to make any contribution, either independently or in combination with other features, to the solution of a technical problem are not relevant for assessing inventive step. The administrative method/ mental act described in 4a above is therefore not relevant for assessing inventive step. The method may therefore be described in the problem to be solved and is considered as a non-technical specification that is provided to the person skilled in the art of data processing such that the skilled person may provide an automated version of the method.
- f. An objective technical problem to be solved may be considered as how to implement the administrative method/ mental act described in 4a in a general purpose computer system.
- g. Implementing administrative functions or functions describing mental acts through standard programming techniques into a general purpose computer system is a typical task carried out by the person skilled in the art of data processing. Thus, the skilled person presented with the above problem and the requirements specification would solve the problem by providing all functions described in the requirements specification through use of standard programming techniques (such as representing an invoice and its plurality of data records in the form of an electronic data structure comprising various data fields; assigning a state to a data field e.g. for identifying said record in a subsequent retrieval step) and would thus arrive at the subject-matter of the claim 1 without making an inventive step. The claimed technical solution does not

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052752

go beyond the concept of a mere automation of constraints imposed by the administrative procedure (Article 33(3) PCT).

5. Further Observations re. Inventive Step
 - a. The application as a whole does not disclose any particular implementational details of the data processing operations at the computer on the network or within the computer system which might indicate that the claimed invention involves any non obvious technical considerations or provides any non obvious technical effects. This applies in particular to the generation of data structures for the purpose of representing the data records of an invoice in a form suitable for automated data processing within a general purpose computer system. The implementation of the invoice data records into such a data structure merely requires standard programming skills.
 - b. Should written evidence be required for the existence of such a general purpose computer system the applicant is referred to e.g. D1 (para. 9).

which are due within a preselectable time or on a preselectable date and to which a first state is assigned, and assigning a second state to said selected invoices.

5

Applying the methods, e.g. by using the system, is insofar advantageous as the introduction of this state concept greatly reduces the expenditures for search and querying the data bases and increases the system 10 performance, particularly if huge amounts of invoices have to be screened. Further, the collection of outstanding cash independent of a specific customer is made easier. A company can focus its capacity of cash collection on such invoices, 15 which are considered to be most important with respect to its turnover. The invention allows a company to have an overview over critical invoices duly before the respective due dates, so that measures to ensure punctual payment can be taken in good time. Further, 20 the management of the respective company can get an improved day sales outstanding, a better management of liquidity, an improved credit risk management through more transparency, better customer profiling through company owned credit scores, better accounts receivable 25 reporting and a better communication with customers.

Embodiments of the invention are further directed to a computer system, a computer program, a computer readable medium and a carrier signal, each comprising 30 program code or instructions for computerized processing of invoices, which a company has presented to two or more customers according to the above method and its embodiments. The invention is further directed to an electronic data structure having one or more data 35 fields from one or more states.

EPO - DG 1

- 3 -

01.03.2005

98

01.03.2005

What is claimed is:

1. A method for computerized processing of invoices, which a company has presented to two or more customers, within a computer network, comprising:
 - 5 - automatically selecting from a plurality of data records comprising invoice data of said invoices such data records, the entry of a due date field of which is within a preselectable time or on a preselectable date and which data record has a first state assigned in a state field,
 - 10 - automatically assigning a second state to said selected data records according to predefineable conditions, said first and/or second state being represented by an entry into a respective data field of the data record of the respective invoice, and
 - 15 - automatically presenting the data records having a certain first and/or second state to a network computer.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.